

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,367	07/24/2003	Leon Axel	5986/1K435US1	2452
7278 7:	590 03/16/2006		EXAM	INER
	DARBY & DARBY P.C.		JACKSON, BRYAN M	
	NEW YORK, NY 10150-3237		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Q.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/627,367 AXEL, LEON	
Č	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Bryan M. Jackson	3762
<i> The</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication ap ply	pears on the cover sheet \	with the correspondence address
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL YER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution controlled by the Office later than three months after the mailing that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 7/24	<u>1/03</u> .	
2a)☐ This	action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3)☐ Sinc	e this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
clos	ed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition o	f Claims		
4)⊠ Claii	m(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) (Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
•	m(s) is/are allowed.		
	m(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected.		
•	m(s) is/are objected to.	1. 0	
8) Claii	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application P	apers		
•	specification is objected to by the Examine		
• —	drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> is/are: a)	•	•
• • •	icant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
•	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)∐ Ine (oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-152.
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ Al	l b)		
	Certified copies of the priority documen		
===	Certified copies of the priority documen		
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	n received in this National Stage
_	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	ot received.
Attachment(s)			

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/24/03 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4, 8, 13, 17, 19, 21, 31, 34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claims 4, 13, 17, 31, and 37, a "first correlating step" lacks antecedent basis. It is suggested to actively claim a "first correlating step" in a prior claim on which the stated claims above are dependent.

As to claims 8, 21, and 34, a "second correlating step" lacks antecedent basis. It is suggested to actively claim a "second correlating step" in a prior claim on which the stated claims above are dependent.

As to claim 19, a "second determining step" lacks antecedent basis. It is suggested to actively claim a "second determining step" in a prior claim on which the stated claim above is dependent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3762

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-17, 19-21, 23-31, 33-34, 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimoni (4865043).

Shimoni discloses selecting a plurality of multi-dimensional windows defining simultaneously the ranges of acceptance for several parameters of an ECG signal acquired during the same beat, simultaneously acquiring cardiac imaging data and the ECG signal from the same patient (col 3, In 58-63), wherein the ECG signal includes the QRS complex, wherein the shape of the QRS portion is analyzed (col 5, In 34-36), "gating is defined as synchronizing the images with a physiological signal" (col 1, In 43-44), wherein "gating" requires a trigger pulse for MRI data acquisition, comparing the amplitude versus time shape of the ECG signal and a template (claim 9), wherein said comparing step includes finding a correlation coefficient (claim 10), data from all heat beats which are similar in type and pass through the same window are accumulated in the same bin (col 5, In 63-65), multi-gated imaging data is sorted into bins according to the classifications, subsequently the data of certain classes may be rejected (col 8, In 5-8), wherein rejection of certain classes in light of the accepted classes inherently requires a threshold based on similarity via the comparison of the shape of an ECG signal and a template, wherein a rejected class is considered

Art Unit: 3762

to be a result of a low correlation value and an accepted class is considered to be a result of a high correlation value, summing same bin data to obtain a combined value, wherein a correlation value in light of summing same bin data is considered a weighted score, ECG electrodes, wherein the ECG electrodes would result in ECG channels, wherein a threshold differentiating rejected from accepted bin classification of similar ECG channels in light of summing same bin data and ECG channels would result in an overall threshold derived from individual thresholds, a memory for ECG data (fig 1, 71) and imaging data (fig 1, 72), and a processor (fig 1, 74).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7, 9, 18, 22, 32, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoni (4865043).

Shimoni discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose expressly the shifting forward in time the superimposed QRS complex template over an ECG signal. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the comparing the amplitude versus time shape of the ECG signal and a template, as taught by Shimoni, with the shifting

Art Unit: 3762

forward in time the superimposed QRS complex template over an ECG signal, because Applicant has not disclosed that shifting forward in time the superimposed QRS complex template over an ECG signal provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solve a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the Applicant's invention to perform equally well with comparing the amplitude versus time shape of the ECG signal and a template, as taught by Shimoni, because it provides a means for assigning a correlation coefficient value via comparison of an ECG signal to a template, and since it appears to be an arbitrary design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over Shimoni.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Shimoni to obtain the invention as specified in the claim(s).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sussman et al. (20030088174) discloses a magnetic resonance imaging using direct, continuous real-time imaging for motion compensation. Gober (5052398) discloses a QRS filter for real time heart imaging with ECG monitoring in the magnetic field of an NMR imaging system and NMR imaging apparatus employing such filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan M. Jackson whose telephone number

Art Unit: 3762

is 571-272-7335. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY E PRIMARY E